



Ballot Content
2011 Boulder County Coordinated Election
Nov. 1, 2011

"Ballot issues referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot issues initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot issue listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot issue listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes" vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no" vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances." C.R.S. 1-40-115 (2)(a)

Proposition 103 (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$536.1 MILLION ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR AND BY SUCH AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AMENDMENTS TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING A TEMPORARY INCREASE IN CERTAIN STATE TAXES FOR ADDITIONAL PUBLIC EDUCATION FUNDING, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, INCREASING THE RATE OF THE STATE INCOME TAX IMPOSED ON ALL TAXPAYERS FROM 4.63% TO 5% FOR THE 2012 THROUGH 2016 INCOME TAX YEARS; INCREASING THE RATE OF THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX FROM 2.9% TO 3% FOR A PERIOD OF FIVE YEARS COMMENCING ON JANUARY 1, 2012; REQUIRING THAT THE ADDITIONAL REVENUES RESULTING FROM THESE INCREASED TAX RATES BE SPENT ONLY TO FUND PUBLIC EDUCATION FROM PRESCHOOL THROUGH TWELFTH GRADE AND PUBLIC POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION; SPECIFYING THAT THE APPROPRIATION OF THE ADDITIONAL TAX REVENUES BE IN ADDITION TO AND NOT SUBSTITUTED FOR MONEYS OTHERWISE APPROPRIATED FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION FROM PRESCHOOL THROUGH TWELFTH GRADE AND PUBLIC POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION FOR THE 2011-12 FISCAL YEAR; AND ALLOWING THE ADDITIONAL TAX REVENUES TO BE COLLECTED, KEPT, AND SPENT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY LIMITATIONS PROVIDED BY LAW?

- Yes
- No

County Question 1A:

[Modification of term limits for the office of the Boulder County Sheriff]

Shall the term limits imposed by state law and in article XVIII, section 11, of the Colorado Constitution on the office of Sheriff of Boulder County, be modified so as to permit an elected officeholder in that office to seek and, if the voters of Boulder County choose to re-elect that person to a fourth term in office, to serve a fourth consecutive term?

- Yes
- No

City of Boulder Council Candidates

Following are the candidates for City Council. You may vote for up to five (5) candidates. If you vote for five (5) or less, your votes in this race will be counted. If you vote for more than five (5), your votes in this race will not be counted.

- Ronald M. Chase
- Mark Gelband
- Fenno Hoffman
- Jonathan R. Hondorf
- Kevin Hotaling
- Tom Johnston
- Suzanne Jones
- George Karakehian
- Stephen F. Keenan
- Dan King
- Lisa Morzel
- Tim Plass
- Ken Wilson
- Daniel Ziskin

CITY OF BOULDER BALLOT ISSUE NO. 2A **BONDING FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

SHALL CITY OF BOULDER DEBT BE INCREASED UP TO \$49,000,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$82,000,000, WITH NO INCREASE IN ANY CITY TAX;

SHALL THE BOND PROCEEDS BE USED FOR FUNDING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS THAT MAY INCLUDE WITHOUT LIMITATION:

- 1) REPAIRING AND MAINTAINING STREETS AND PATHWAYS;
- 2) REPAIRING AND REPLACING STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES;
- 3) COMPLETING MISSING LINKS IN THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM;
- 4) REPAIRING AND RENOVATING AGING CITY FACILITIES;
- 5) REPLACING AND MODERNIZING CORE SERVICE COMPUTER SOFTWARE;
- 6) MODERNIZING BASIC POLICE AND FIRE SAFETY FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT;
- 7) RENOVATING AND REPAIRING PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES;
- 8) RENOVATING PORTIONS OF THE MAIN LIBRARY; AND
- 9) IMPROVING CONNECTIONS AND STREETSCAPES DOWNTOWN;

SHALL THIS PURPOSE BE ACCOMPLISHED BY THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF BONDS OF THE CITY, AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 5.5% PER YEAR AND

WITH A MATURITY DATE NOT TO EXCEED 20 YEARS FROM THE RESPECTIVE DATES OF ISSUANCE;

SHALL SUCH BONDS BE ISSUED, DATED, AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAIN SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HERewith, AS THE CITY COUNCIL MAY DETERMINE, SUCH BONDS TO BE PAYABLE FROM ANY LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDS IN THE CITY'S GENERAL FUND; AND

IN CONNECTION THEREWITH SHALL ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS (REGARDLESS OF THE AMOUNT) CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

- FOR THE MEASURE
- AGAINST THE MEASURE

CITY OF BOULDER BALLOT ISSUE NO. 2B
INCREASE AND EXTEND THE UTILITY OCCUPATION TAX

SHALL CITY OF BOULDER TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,900,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) THROUGH AN INCREASE OF UP TO THAT AMOUNT IN THE CURRENT UTILITY OCCUPATION TAX WITH THE ANNUAL INCREASE BEING FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING THE COSTS OF FURTHER EXPLORATION OF AND PLANNING FOR BOTH THE CREATION OF A MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC UTILITY AND ACQUIRING AN EXISTING ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM, AND SHALL THE CITY'S UTILITY OCCUPATION TAX BE EXTENDED FROM ITS CURRENT EXPIRATION DATE OF DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND EXPIRE ON THE EARLIER OF: (1) DECEMBER 31, 2017, (2) WHEN THE CITY DECIDES NOT TO CREATE A MUNICIPAL UTILITY, OR (3) WHEN IT COMMENCES DELIVERY OF MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICES; WITH THE EXTENSION OF THE EXISTING TAX BEING USED TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES, AND SHALL THE REVENUE FROM SUCH TAX INCREASE AND EXTENSION AND ALL EARNINGS THEREON (REGARDLESS OF AMOUNT) CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

- FOR THE MEASURE
- AGAINST THE MEASURE

City of Boulder Ballot Question No. 2C
Light and Power Utility

Shall the City of Boulder have the authority to establish, acquire, erect, maintain, and operate, by any lawful means, a municipal light and power utility with programs and improvements that include without limitation generation plants, renewable energy,

energy conservation, and distribution systems, with all necessary powers appurtenant thereto if the city council determines that it can acquire the electrical distribution system in Boulder and charge rates that do not exceed those rates charged by Xcel Energy at the time of acquisition and that such rates will produce revenues sufficient to pay for operating expenses and debt payments, plus an amount equal to twenty-five percent (25%) of the debt payments; and with the reliability comparable to Xcel Energy and a plan for reduced greenhouse gas emissions and other pollutants and increased renewable energy;

Shall the City amend its Charter by the addition of a new Article XIII, "Light and Power Utility," as described in Ordinance No. 7804 that provides for utility service standards, the creation of an electric utilities department and electric utilities board, and the general powers and limitations of the utility; and

Shall the City, acting through the utility, issue enterprise revenue bonds payable solely from the net revenues of the utility, to finance the costs of acquiring from Xcel Energy and any affiliate thereof, and constructing, relocating, installing, improving, completing or expanding the equipment, facilities and other assets comprising an existing electric distribution system within or outside the City's boundaries, and paying all necessary or incidental costs related thereto, and shall the City have the authority to adopt all means necessary or appropriate to carry out the requirements, purpose and intent of this measure?

- For the measure
- Against the measure

City of Boulder Ballot Question No. 2D
Amendment of Organizational Structure

Shall Sections 12, 64, 65, 68, 72, 89, 101, 104, 105, and 108 of the Charter be amended pursuant to Ordinance No. 7799 to reflect organizational changes in names of positions and departments, specifically including without limitation, to change the title of the director of finance and record to chief financial officer, to move the duties of the city clerk to the city manager's office; and to eliminate obsolete references to the employee that was to take the role of city marshal in the last century and related details as specifically set forth in Ordinance No. 7799?

- For the measure
- Against the measure

City of Boulder Ballot Question No. 2E
Change in Penalty for Violations of the City Charter

Shall Sections 120 and 151 of the Charter of the City of Boulder be amended as set forth in Ordinance No. 7800 to change the penalty for violating the Charter from \$100 to \$1000?

- For the measure
- Against the measure

City of Boulder Ballot Question No. 2F
Clean-Up Charter Provisions Regarding Elections

Shall Sections 5, 21, 27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 55, 56, 57, 61, 97, 97A, 98, 108, 124, and 164 of the Charter of the City of Boulder be amended to update the Charter with current election terminology and practices as set forth in Ordinance No. 7801?

- For the measure
- Against the measure

City of Boulder Ballot Question No. 2G
Amendment of Initiative Procedures

Shall Sections 38, 38A, 38B, 39, 40, 41 and 42 of the Charter, relating to the procedures for submitting an initiative petition to the City, be amended pursuant to Ordinance No. 7802 to require initiative petitions to:

- 1) Be simple and clear;
 - 2) Be submitted for review and comment prior to circulation;
 - 3) Have signatures no older than 180 days prior to filing;
 - 4) Expand time for council to hold hearings and take final actions on petitions;
 - 5) Change election timing for initiative petitions; and
 - 6) Related details as specifically set forth in Ordinance No. 7802.
- For the measure
 - Against the measure

City of Boulder Ballot Question No. 2H
Amendment to Abolish Corporate Personhood

Shall the People of the City of Boulder, Colorado, call for reclaiming democracy from the corrupting effects of corporate influence by amending the United States Constitution to establish that:

- 1) Only human beings, not corporations, are entitled to constitutional rights; and
- 2) Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech.

- For the measure
- Against the measure

City of Lafayette Council Candidates

Vote for no more than Six (6)

- Staci Lupberger
- Pete d’Oronzio
- Alexandra Lynch
- Rosabelle Rice
- Christine Berg
- Steve Kracha
- Brad Wiesley

City of Lafayette Question No. 2A
Special Elections on Citizen Initiatives

Shall Chapter VII, Section 7.12 of the Charter be amended to increase from sixty (60) to ninety (90) days the time for the City to conduct a special election on a certified citizen initiative from the date the initiative is presented to City Council?

- Yes
- No

City of Lafayette Question No. 2B
Creation of Youth Advisory Board

Shall Chapter IV of the Charter be amended to add a new section 4.17 creating a Youth Advisory Board, which allows for membership of individuals under the age of 18?

- Yes
- No

City of Lafayette Question No. 2C
Publication of Ordinances by Title

Shall Chapter VII, Section 7.4 of the Charter be amended to allow publication of ordinances by title, with a statement that the full text of ordinances shall be available for public inspection in the City Clerk’s office and in posting locations established by City Council?

- Yes
- No

City of Lafayette Question No. 2D

Resignations and Removals from Boards and Commissions

Shall Chapter V, Sections 5.4 and 5.5 of the Charter be amended to standardize the procedures for resignations and removals of members of city boards and commissions, and to recognize resignations upon receipt and verification of same by the City Clerk's office?

- Yes
- No

City of Lafayette Question No. 2E

Elimination of Obsolete Charter Provisions

Shall Sections 4.9, 4.14, 4.15, 7.3, 8.3, 8.9, and 13.5 of the Charter be amended to eliminate obsolete provisions of the Charter?

- Yes
- No

City of Longmont Mayor

Vote for One (1)

- Bryan L. Baum
- Dennis L. Coombs

City of Longmont Council Member |At-Large

Vote for One (1)

- Ron Gallegos
- James Young
- Sarah Levison
- Heath R. Carroll

City of Longmont Council Member |Ward 1

Vote for One (1)

- Brian Hansen
- Paul Tiger
- Brian J. Bagley
- John Daniels
- Suzzanne Painter

City of Longmont Council Member |Ward 3

Vote for One (1)

- Bonnie Finley
- Sean McCoy

City of Longmont Ballot Question 2A

Without increasing taxes, shall the citizens of the City of Longmont, Colorado, re-establish their City's right to provide all services restricted since 2005 by Title 29, article 27 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, described as "advanced services," "telecommunications services" and "cable television services," including any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned infrastructure including but not limited to the existing fiber optic network, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, residential or commercial users within the City and the service area of the City's electric utility enterprise?

- Yes
- No

City of Longmont Ballot Question 2B

Shall Municipal Judge Diana VanDeHey be retained in office for two (2) years?

- Yes
- No

City of Louisville Mayor |At-Large (4-Year Term)

Vote for One (1)

- Bob Muckle
- Sheri Marsella

City of Louisville City Council Person |Ward I (4-Year Term)

Vote for One (1)

- Bill Scanlon
- Jay Keany

City of Louisville City Council Person |Ward II (4-Year Term)

Vote for One (1)

- Susan Loo
- Dean Smith

City of Louisville City Council Person |Ward III (4-Year Term)

Vote for One (1)

- Hank Dalton

Boulder Valley School District

Director District A (4 Years)

Vote for One (1)

- Shelly Benford

Boulder Valley School District

Director District C (4 Years)

Vote for One (1)

- Laurie Albright
- Leisha L. Conners Bauer

Boulder Valley School District

Director District D (4 Years)

Vote for One (1)

- Sam Fuqua
- Mónica Olguín

Boulder Valley School District

Director District G (4 Years)

Vote for One (1)

- James Reed

Park School District R-3

School Director At Large (4-Year Term)

Vote for no more than Three (3)

- Marie C. Richardson
- Patricia Ann Wedan
- Cody Rex Walker

St. Vrain Valley School District

School Board Candidates

Director District A (4 Years)

Vote for One (1)

- Rick Hammans
- Arnold Hanuman

St. Vrain Valley School District

School Board Candidates

Director District C (4 Years)

Vote for One (1)
- Robert J. Smith

St. Vrain Valley School District
School Board Candidates
Director District E (4 Years)

Vote for One (1)
- John Creighton

St. Vrain Valley School District
School Board Candidates
Director District G (4 Years)

Vote for One (1)
- Michael Schiers

Thompson School District R2-J
Board of Education
Director District A (4 Year Term)

Vote for One (1)
- Lola Johnson
- Kathleen Hatanaka

Thompson School District R2-J
Board of Education
Director District B (2 Year Term)

Vote for One (1)
- Janice Marchman

Thompson School District R2-J
Board of Education
Director District C (4 Year Term)

Vote for One (1)
- Denise Montagu

Thompson School District R2-J
Board of Education
Director District D (4 Year Term)

Vote for One (1)
- Leslie Young

Thompson School District R2-J
Board of Education
Director District G (4 Year Term)

Vote for One (1)

- Bob Kerrigan
- Karen L. Stockley

THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J BALLOT ISSUE 3A

SHALL THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J'S TAXES BE INCREASED \$12.8 MILLION ANNUALLY (OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MAY ANNUALLY DETERMINE) TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES TO BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION WHICH SHALL INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO:

- A. RESTORING AND SUPPORTING ACADEMIC PROGRAMS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS;
- B. RESTORING TEACHER AND CLASSROOM SUPPORT POSITIONS LOST DUE TO REDUCTIONS IN STATE FUNDING;
- C. MAINTAINING REASONABLE CLASS SIZES; AND
- D. PROVIDING EQUAL ACCESS TO CLASSROOM INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY DISTRICT-WIDE;

WITH SUCH TAXES TO BE RAISED IN THE 2011-2012 BUDGET YEAR AND EACH BUDGET YEAR THEREAFTER THROUGH THE 2023-24 BUDGET YEAR BY A MILL LEVY CERTIFIED AGAINST THE ASSESSED VALUATION OF ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY IN THE DISTRICT, WHICH SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO THE AMOUNT OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES THAT OTHERWISE WOULD BE PROVIDED FOR THE GENERAL FUND WITHOUT SUCH INCREASE, BUT IN NO EVENT SHALL SUCH TAX INCREASE BE GREATER THAN THE AMOUNT PERMITTED UNDER SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., AND WITH SUCH ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX REVENUES TOGETHER WITH REVENUES FROM SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES ATTRIBUTABLE THERETO AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AND TAXES TO CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES UNDER, TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT EACH YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION BY THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF, AND WITHOUT AFFECTING THE DISTRICT'S ABILITY TO COLLECT AND SPEND OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

- Yes
- No

PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3 BALLOT ISSUE 3B

SHALL PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3 TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$750,000 ANNUALLY FOR THE NEXT THREE YEARS TO, AMONG OTHER THINGS,

- REMAIN COMPETITIVE WITH OTHER SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN SALARY AND BENEFITS PAID TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN HIGH QUALITY TEACHERS AND STAFF,
- RESTORE FUNDING FROM RECURRING BUDGETARY REDUCTIONS IN THE AREAS OF: CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT, TECHNOLOGY AND INFRASTRUCTURE, AND CAPITAL PROJECTS, AND
- REDUCE THE NEED TO SPEND THE DISTRICT RESERVE FUND BALANCE TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR K-12 EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX TO BE LEVIED AT A RATE SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED ABOVE, WHICH TAXES SHALL BE DEPOSITED INTO THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT, SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO THE PROPERTY TAXES THAT OTHERWISE WOULD BE LEVIED FOR THE GENERAL FUND AND SHALL CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

- Yes
- No

COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE NO. 4A
[Operations and Maintenance Mill Levy - Ad Valorem Taxes]

SHALL COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$104,260 IN FISCAL YEAR 2012 AND BY WHATEVER TAX REVENUES ARE GENERATED BY AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY RATE IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER NOT TO EXCEED THREE (3.0) MILLS TO BE USED FOR THE ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR A COMMUNITY PARK, RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, TRAILS, OPEN SPACE AND NATURAL AREAS, AND THE DISTRICT'S ADMINISTRATION, MAINTENANCE AND OPERATING EXPENSES PROVIDED THAT SUCH MILL LEVY RATE MAY BE ADJUSTED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT LEGISLATIVE OR CONSTITUTIONALLY IMPOSED ADJUSTMENTS IN ASSESSED VALUES OR THE METHOD OF THEIR CALCULATION OCCURRING AFTER JANUARY 1, 2012, SO THAT, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL REVENUES GENERATED BY SUCH MILL LEVY ARE NEITHER REDUCED NOR INCREASED AS A RESULT OF SUCH CHANGES; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2012 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER FOR AS LONG AS THE DISTRICT CONTINUES IN EXISTENCE, SUCH AUTHORIZATION TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WHICH MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN PROPERTY TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301,

C.R.S. IN ANY YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, ALL WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

- Yes
- No

COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE NO. 4B
(DeTABORing)

SHALL COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND THE FULL AMOUNT OF ALL REVENUES COLLECTED OR RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT DURING 2012 AND EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH AMOUNTS TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN PROPERTY TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S. IN ANY SUBSEQUENT YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

- Yes
- No

PINE BROOK HILLS BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT ISSUE 5A:
[SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND ISSUE IN THE PINE BROOK HILLS LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT]

SHALL BOULDER COUNTY DEBT (FOR PINE BROOK HILLS BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT) BE INCREASED \$2,654,593, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$4,644,700, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REHABILITATING EXISTING PAVED ROADS, INCLUDING COSTS INCIDENTAL THERETO, AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF RESERVES THEREFOR, BY THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS PAYABLE FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED AGAINST BENEFITED PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE DISTRICT AND OTHER LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAIN SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HERewith, AS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AND ANY EARNINGS THEREON AND FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

- Yes

- No

**CRESTVIEW ESTATES BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT ISSUE 5B:
[SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND ISSUE IN THE CRESTVIEW ESTATES LOCAL
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT]**

SHALL BOULDER COUNTY DEBT (FOR CRESTVIEW ESTATES BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT) BE INCREASED \$871,560.80, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$1,357,600, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REHABILITATING EXISTING PAVED ROADS, INCLUDING COSTS INCIDENTAL THERETO, AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF RESERVES THEREFOR, BY THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS PAYABLE FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED AGAINST BENEFITED PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE DISTRICT AND OTHER LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAIN SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HERewith, AS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AND ANY EARNINGS THEREON AND FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

- Yes
- No

**Boulder County Mountains Forest Improvement District Question 5C:
[Creation of the Boulder County Mountains Forest Improvement District]**

Shall the Boulder County Mountains Forest Improvement District be created for the purposes of improving forest health and reduction of wildfire hazards, as well as other purposes authorized by law, including but not limited to the Colorado Forest Improvement District Act, as more particularly described in Board of County Commissioners' Resolution No. 2011-94?

- Yes
- No

Rocky Mountain Fire Protection District Ballot Question 5D

Shall the limit on the number of consecutive terms in office for the members of the Board of Directors of the Rocky Mountain Fire Protection District be removed as set forth in Article XVIII, Section 11(2) of the Colorado Constitution.

- Yes
- No

--END OF BALLOT CONTENT--