

## **Eldorado Springs LID Advisory Committee Minutes**

April 27, 2017  
4390 Eldorado Springs Drive  
Boulder, Colorado 80303

The meeting was called to order at approximately 6:35 pm.

**Members Present:** Jeff Mason, Cathy Proenza, Vija Handley, Ken Sheldon & Kevin Tone joined the meeting via phone

**Guests:** Tom Schubert

**Staff:** Pete Salas

Approval of Minutes: Cathy moved to approve the minutes of the March, 2017 meeting. Vija seconded the motion and it passed with all in favor.

Plant Operations: Pete referred the group to the March activity report submitted by ORC. Tom Schubert with ORC provided the update on activities. Tom indicated that it was a rather uneventful month. Tom mentioned that his crew had completed some work on steps to assist with placing chemicals in the plant building. Kevin asked if this might help alleviate the need for building a storage shed in the short term due to the exploration of trying to get a UV system in place. Tom indicated that finding someone to construct the small addition to the plant building might be problematic and he was planning to just maintain the current situation until they could come up with a better option including a possible UV system.

Tom mentioned that the primary concern this month had to do with the removal of TIN and that the plant would exceed the permit limits this month. Tom indicated that he was taking a number of steps to deal with the issue including; adjusting set times, pump times, use of soda ash and also reached out to Fluidyne (the SBR manufacturer). Kevin suggested that the samples be verified. Tom indicated that his group was doing their own sampling testing but that it didn't work as well as intended. Tom provided additional information relative to the system operation and the denitrifying situation.

Kevin expressed his thoughts regarding the values that need to be reached per permit. Is the plant under compliance requirements for the new permit to which Tom said no. Kevin asked about when the permit took effect . Pete indicated that the new permit took effect on April 1, 2017. Kevin suggested that Tom may need to add an additional carbon source for the plant's anoxic stage. Tom indicated that he would proceed with researching the situation and try to find a laboratory source to provide more instantaneous information. Kevin suggested that Tom review the new permit to confirm what we need to report on and the level of compliance necessary. Tom confirmed that he would do so. There was some brief additional discussion.

Jeff brought up the March invoice expressing concern with the large amount and maintenance costs. Pete indicated that the larger costs were a result of the actuator problems. Pete mentioned that he had taken the liberty of taking all cost which are not ordinary maintenance and placing them in the capital expense part of the spreadsheet. Pete referred the group to the budget spreadsheet and the capital/infrastructure section. Cathy expressed concern regarding the amount of labor required to deal with the actuator repair. Tom explained the labor intensive nature of the work involved to pull and repair the actuator which was in extensive disrepair.

Jeff asked about the fishkill issue relative to the State. Pete indicated that he had not received any additional information from the State. Pete said he would let the group know as soon as he hears something. It was noted that apart from the actuator repair there were no E-One repairs.

Budget Review: Pete reviewed the budget with the group indicating that aside from the actuator work it was a rather routine month. There was a brief discussion regarding the chemical use and that the previous purchase should be sufficient for the foreseeable future.

Committee Updates: Pete mentioned that the Board of Commissioners had met earlier in the day to adopt the resolution to dissolve LID II and that Ken and Vija were in attendance representing the LID. Pete also mentioned that Ken gave public comment in support of the resolution and suggested that the excess funds be used for not only identified capital expenses but for other needs the LID might want to consider. Pete indicated that the Board was in agreement and the resolution would be amended to that effect. Kevin asked if the capital reserve agreement would open the door to considering a UV system to which Pete confirmed that that was the case. Pete gave a short briefing on the process which would occur with the dissolution of LID II. Tom was excused from the rest of the meeting.

Jeff asked about what the committee should do to inform the community relative to the tax assessments and what that might entail. Pete indicated that he was intending to not only send an email informing the residents but would also send a hard copy mail to everyone on record. It was agreed that this was appropriate and that a notice could be placed in the Post Office.

There was a brief discussion regarding SDMS and when the current contract expired. Pete indicated that the current contract expires at the end of the year and a process would be put in place to get a new contract in place. Jeff expressed a concern that there not be last minute interviews. Pete said that this was not something that would require an interview process and indicated that he wasn't sure how many entities provided this service. Kevin said he didn't think there were many entities that did this work. Kevin suggested if it might be possible to just see if we could renew the current contract. Pete said that given the small cost of the contract it might just require a minimal process. Pete said that he would be discussing this with our Purchasing agent along with the UV contract situation. There was a brief overlap discussion regarding the issue of Auto Bill Pay. Cathy asked if Pete could send her the info on SDMS.

**Plant Gate & Signage:** There was a brief discussion regarding the plant gate and whether there needed to be additional signage. The issue was due to an inquiry by a resident. It was agreed that the number of incidents was not sufficient to warrant additional actions. It was decided that no actions would be taken at this time but that the committee as residents would try to monitor the situation and if signage was necessary it could be considered. Cathy suggested that if in the future, something is done, the community be informed as to what and why.

Public Comment: There was no public comment and the meeting was adjourned at 7:25.