MEETING OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD
BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO

THURSDAY, AUGUST 5, 2021 AT 6:00 P.M.

PLEASE NOTE: Due to COVID-19 concerns, this hearing will be held virtually. Information regarding how to participate will be available on the Historic Preservation Advisory Board webpage in advance of the hearing (www.boco.org/HPAB).

This agenda is subject to change. Please call ahead or check the Historic Preservation Advisory Board webpage to confirm an item of interest (303-441-3930 / www.boco.org/HPAB). Public comments are taken at meetings designated as Public Hearings. For special assistance, contact our ADA Coordinator (303-441-3525) at least 48 hours in advance.

Information regarding how to participate in this virtual meeting will be available on the Historic Preservation Advisory Board webpage in advance of the hearing (approximately July 29th) at www.boco.org/HPAB. There will be opportunity to provide public comment remotely on the subject dockets during the respective virtual Public Hearing portions for each item. If you have comments regarding any of these items, you may mail comments to the Community Planning & Permitting Department (PO Box 471, Boulder, CO 80306) or email to historic@bouldercounty.org. Please include the docket number of the subject item in your communication. Call 303-441-3930 or email historic@bouldercounty.org for more information.

Notice is hereby given that a Public Hearing will be held by the Boulder County Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) at 6:00 pm to consider the following agenda:

1. Citizen participation for items not otherwise on the agenda
2. Approval of minutes from previous meetings
3. Building Permit Reviews for Structures 50 Years of Age and Older
4. Landmark:
   a. **Docket HP-21-0004: Tucker Ranch**
      Request: Boulder County Historic Landmark Designation of the site
      Location: 1001 Caribou Road, in Section 14, T1S, R73W of the 6th Principal Meridian
      Zoning: Forestry (F) Zoning District
      Owner: Boulder County
      Website: https://boco.org/hp-21-0004
   b. **Docket HP-21-0005: Clyncke Family Homestead**
      Request: Boulder County Historic Landmark Designation of the site
      Location: 7010 South Boulder Road, in Section 11, T1S, R72W of the 6th Principal Meridian
      Zoning: Estate Residential (ER) Zoning District
      Owner: Steve McHugh & Nancy Kimber
      Agent: Amber Stewart
      Website: https://boco.org/hp-21-0005
5. Other Business
On Thursday, April 1, 2021 the Boulder County Historic Preservation Advisory Board held a regular meeting, convening at 6:04 p.m. and adjourning at 6:50 p.m.

Board Members Present: Chuck Gray (Chair), Mark Gerwing, Liz Gehring, Jason Emery, Marissa Ferreira, Larry Powers

Board Members Excused: Caitlin McKenna, Stanley Nilson, Don Burd

Staff Present: Denise Grimm, Jessica Fasick, and Melanie Wilkerson with Community Planning & Permitting; Carol Beam with Parks and Open Space

Interested Others: 4

1. CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

None.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Approval of the March 4, 2021 Historic Preservation Advisory Board minutes:

MOTION: Jason Emery MOVED to approve the March 4, 2021 minutes as submitted

SECOND: Liz Gehring

VOTE: Motion PASSED unanimously
3. LANDMARKS

a. **Docket HP-21-0002: Ionides Historic Swiss Chalet**

Request: Boulder County Historic Landmark Designation of the site
Location: 10094 Hwy 7, in Section 2, T3N, R73W of the of the 6th Principal Meridian
Zoning: Forestry (F) Zoning District
Owner: Lisa Cook Trust
Agent: Stephen Sparn

Staff member, Denise Grimm, gave the staff presentation. An application for landmark designation of the site has been submitted by the agent Stephen Sparn on behalf of the owner, Lisa Cook Trust. The request is to designate the historic site.

An application for landmark designation of the site has been submitted on behalf of the owner, Lisa Cook, by Stephen Sparn, the agent. The site is a 4.6-acre parcel and includes six (6) contributing resources and one (1) non-contributing resource.

The contributing resources include the following:

1. Main Cabin
2. Lodge Pole
3. Teepee
4. Rabbit House
5. Pica Place
6. Garage

The non-contributing resource is the following:

1. Antler Shed

The property was part of the 960 acres bought in 1907 by Mary Kirkwood and her two sons, Charles and Stephen Hewes. They first built a cabin for Mary, which later became the Hewes-Kirkwood Lodge in 1915 just north in Larimer County. Then they built Stephen a cabin further north, and then a cabin for Charles just south in Boulder County. The location of that cabin is unknown.

In 1921, the brothers, with the help of Stephen Ionides, platted the townsites of Hewes-Kirkwood, of which this property is a part. The town never materialized but there are a cluster of historic cabins in the area. The property may have been given to Ionides as payment, and he and his wife and in-laws owned the property until he passed in 1943, and it sold in 1946 to Hewitt Cochran, the husband of Ionides’ daughter, Margaret. The property has had several owners since and is currently owned by Lisa Cook who bought the cabin in 2014.

The main cabin was built for Stephen Ionides c. 1921 in a Swiss Chalet style to resemble a certain chalet that he had admired in Interlachen, Switzerland. The Swiss Chalet style was introduced to the United States in 1850 and most examples date to between 1885 and 1915. The two main features on the Ionides cabin are the front balcony and the clipped gable. At least two other structures on the parcel predate the main cabin and they are the Lodge Pole, built in 1909, and the Teepee, built in 1919.

On September 1, 2020, a subcommittee of HPAB unanimously agreed (3-0) that the site is eligible for landmark status with contributing buildings being the Main Cabin, Lodge Pole, Teepee, Rabbit
House, Pica Place and the garage. They then reviewed the proposal subsequently represented in docket SPR-21-0003: Cook Addition and gave their support for the addition noting that the small connector piece was appropriate, that Pica Place could be relocated to the proposed spot, and that Antler Shed could be deconstructed. The Presumptive Size Maximum for the parcel was overcome by a condition of landmarking for the site.

SIGNIFICANCE

The property qualifies for landmark designation under Criterion 4.

Criterion 15-501(A)(4) The proposed landmark is an embodiment of the distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, type, method of construction, or the use of indigenous materials;

   The cabin is significant as an excellent example of a log cabin built in a Swiss Chalet style.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board APPROVE and recommend that the BOCC approve Docket HP-21-0002: Ionides Historic Swiss Chalet under Criterion 4 and subject to the following conditions:

1. Alteration of any exterior feature of a structures or construction within the site area will require review and approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (CA) by Boulder County (note: applicable county review processes, including but not limited to Site Plan Review, may be required), except for those projects previously approved by HPAB.

2. Regular maintenance which prolongs the life of the landmark, using original materials or materials that replicate the original materials, will not require review for a Certificate of Appropriateness, provided the Community Planning & Permitting Director has determined that the repair is minor in nature and will not damage any existing features. Emergency repairs, which are temporary in nature, will not require review (note: Depending on the type of work, a building permit may still be required.)

The agent, Stephen Sparn, commented on the project and was available for questions.

OPEN PUBLIC COMMENT

- None

CLOSE PUBLIC COMMENT

MOTION: Marissa Ferreira MOVED that HPAB APPROVE and recommended that the Board of County Commissioners APPROVE Docket HP-21-0002: Ionides Historic Swiss Chalet for landmark status under Criterion 4 and subject to the 2 standard conditions in the Staff Recommendation.

SECOND: Larry Powers

VOTE: Motion PASSED unanimously
b. **Docket HP-21-0003: Bush Family Cabin**

- **Request:** Boulder County Historic Landmark Designation
- **Location:** 265 County Road 113S, in Section 11, T3N, R73W of the 6th Principal Meridian
- **Zoning:** Forestry (F) Zoning District
- **Owner:** Granville M Bush IV
- **Agent:** Mike Daley

Staff member, Denise Grimm, gave the staff presentation. An application for landmark designation of the cabin has been submitted by the agent Mike Daley on behalf of the owner, Granville M Bush IV. The request is to designate the historic cabin.

An application for landmark designation of the cabin has been submitted on behalf of the owner, Granville Bush IV, by Mike Daley, the agent. The request is to designate the historic cabin on the property.

The property was part of the holdings of H.G. Nowels and O.L. Dever who, together with their wives, planned to build a resort in the Meeker Park area to operate in the summer months. Construction on the Meeker Park Lodge began in 1929, and the Devers soon bought out the Nowels and continued to build or acquire cabins and outbuildings over the next few decades.

As the Meeker Park Lodge operation grew, visitors came from all over and many of them returned year-after-year. Some stayed at the lodge or rented a cabin, while some eventually bought a plot of land from the Devers to build their own summer cabin in Meeker Park with the Devers selling land to nearly 80 families. Most of the privately-owned cabins are located on the west side of the highway.

The cabin is believed to have been built by Granville Bush and O.L. Dever around 1949, a few years after Granville and Helen Bush purchased the property from the Devers. The Bushes were from Kansas where Granville was a freight agent. The cabin passed to their son, Granville Bush III, in 1976, and then to his children in the 1990s, and is currently owned by Granville Bush IV.

The cabin was constructed in a rustic, log cabin-style with butt-and-pass round corners and a stone foundation. Most of the windows seem to be original, including the main façade plate-glass window.

In October 2019, Boulder County landmarked the Meeker Park Lodge Historic District with 26 contributing structures on six parcels that were all owned at that time by the Dever family. Although not a member in that district, the Bush Family Cabin would contribute to the district if added and would probably contribute to a National Register district if one were created.

On January 16, 2020, a subcommittee of HPAB unanimously agreed (3-0) that the cabin is eligible for landmark status under Criteria 1, 4 and 8. The subcommittee then reviewed and approved an addition to the west side of the cabin with the direction that the applicants work with HPAB at the time of the building permit submittal to ensure that the proposed materials are compatible with the historic cabin. The approved plans are attached. The details of the proposed materials have not been reviewed yet and will need to be reviewed by an HPAB subcommittee once the BOCC approves the landmark designation. These are also attached.

During the permitting process, Chief Building Official Ron Flax approved a request for a modification of the HERS (Home Energy Rating) target with the condition that the cabin is landmarked with Boulder County as Building Code exceptions are allowed for designated buildings.
SIGNIFICANCE

The property qualifies for landmark designation under Criteria 1, 4 and 8.

Criterion 15-501(A)(1) The character, interest, or value of the proposed landmark as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the county;

The cabin is significant for its association with Meeker Park's development as a tourist resort during the first half of the twentieth century.

Criterion 15-501(A)(4) The proposed landmark is an embodiment of the distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, type, method of construction, or the use of indigenous materials;

The cabin is significant as a good example of rustic, log cabin-style architecture.

Criterion 15-501(A)(8) The relationship of the proposed landmark to other distinctive structures, districts, or sites which would also be determined to be of historic significance.

The cabin is significant for its association with Boulder County's Meeker Park Lodge Historic District and would be a contributing resource if added to the district.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board APPROVE and recommend that the BOCC approve Docket **HP-21-0003: Bush Family Cabin** under Criteria 1, 4 and 8 and subject to the following conditions:

1. Alteration of any exterior feature of the structure will require review and approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (CA) by Boulder County (note: applicable county review processes, including but not limited to Site Plan Review, may be required), except for those projects previously approved by HPAB.

2. Regular maintenance which prolongs the life of the landmark, using original materials or materials that replicate the original materials, will not require review for a Certificate of Appropriateness, provided the Community Planning & Permitting Director has determined that the repair is minor in nature and will not damage any existing features. Emergency repairs, which are temporary in nature, will not require review (note: Depending on the type of work, a building permit may still be required.)

The owner, Granville M Bush IV, and the agent, Mike Daley, commented on the project and were available for questions.

OPEN PUBLIC COMMENT

- None

CLOSE PUBLIC COMMENT

**MOTION:** Larry Powers MOVED that HPAB APPROVE and recommended that the Board of County Commissioners APPROVE **HP-21-0003: Bush Family Cabin** for landmark status under Criterion 1, 4 and 8 and subject to the 2 standard conditions in the Staff Recommendation.
SECOND: Mark Gerwing

VOTE: Motion PASSED unanimously

4. OTHER BUSINESS

- Election of Officers

The board moved forward with nominations for officers on the Historic Preservation Advisory Board.

MOTION: Jason Emery motioned that the current chair, Chuck Gray, continue as Chair

SECOND: Mark Gerwing

VOTE: Motion PASSED unanimously (5-0 without Caitlin McKenna, Don Burd, or Stanley Nilson with Chuck Gray abstaining)

MOTION: Larry Powers motioned that the current Vice-Chair Mark Gerwing continue as Vice-chair

SECOND: Chuck Gray

VOTE: Motion PASSED unanimously (5-0, without Caitlin McKenna, Don Burd, or Stanley Nilson with Mark Gerwing abstaining)

- Staff and Board discussed the possibility of returning to in-person meetings later in the year, and the preference of the Board to remain virtual for at least subcommittee meetings.
- Staff brought up that they are seeing a lot more 1950s to 1970s production built and semi-custom built houses that lack architectural significance, and they would like to continue to review these at the staff level with the Board’s approval. Staff offered to make a slideshow of some of the houses they have reviewed recently. The Board agreed that the historic preservation staff should be able to decide what comes to HPAB.

5. ADJOURNED

The Boulder County Historic Preservation Advisory Board meeting was adjourned at 6:50 p.m.

Detailed information regarding the docket items, including maps and legal descriptions are available for public use at the Community Planning & Permitting Department, 13th and Spruce, Boulder, CO 303-441-3930.
PUBLIC HEARING

STAFF PLANNER: Denise Grimm

STAFF RECOMMENDATION RE:

Docket HP-21-0004: Tucker Ranch
Request: Boulder County Historic Landmark Designation of the site
Location: 1001 Caribou Road, in Section 14, T1S, R73W of the 6th
Principal Meridian
Zoning: Forestry (F) Zoning District
Owner: Boulder County

PURPOSE

To determine if the nominated property qualifies for landmark designation, determine if the
landmark application is complete, and formulate recommendations for the Board of County
Commissioners.

BACKGROUND

An application for landmark designation of the site has been submitted by Boulder County Parks and
Open Space. The landmark site is a 1-acre area of a 324-acre parcel. The site includes five (5)
contributing resources which are the house, the privy, the cistern, the shed and the driveway.

The parcel was part of the vast holdings of Alfred and Margaret Tucker and their family who farmed
and ranched. Alfred Tucker first arrived in Colorado in 1859, purchased the first 160 acres of this
parcel in 1872, and subsequently added another 160 acres purchased from the United States.
Additionally, the Tuckers owned another 880 acres spread throughout the Golden/Arvada area,
Larimer County and Denver.

The house was built c. 1871 and retains its physical integrity from the periods of significance
associated with the Tucker family. It features a large fieldstone chimney, historic siding and historic
windows. The other historic resources appear to retain their physical integrity as well although ages
are unknown.

Boulder County purchased the property in 2020 from the Tucker family.
SIGNIFICANCE

The property qualifies for landmark designation under Criteria 1, 3 and 4.

Criterion 15-501(A)(1) The character, interest, or value of the proposed landmark as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the county;

   The property is significant for its association with the development of early agriculture in Boulder County.

Criterion 15-501(A)(3) The identification of the proposed landmark with a person or persons significantly contributing to the local, county, state, or national history;

   The property is significant for its association with early Colorado settlers Alfred and Margaret Tucker.

Criterion 15-501(A)(4) The proposed landmark is an embodiment of the distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, type, method of construction, or the use of indigenous materials;

   The property is significant for the cabin’s early construction date.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board APPROVE and recommend that the BOCC approve Docket HP-21-0004: Tucker Ranch under Criteria 1, 3 and 4 and subject to the following conditions:

1. Alteration of any exterior feature of structures or construction within the site area will require review and approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (CA) by Boulder County (note: applicable county review processes, including but not limited to Site Plan Review, may be required).

2. Regular maintenance which prolongs the life of the landmark, using original materials or materials that replicate the original materials, will not require review for a Certificate of Appropriateness, provided the Community Planning & Permitting Director has determined that the repair is minor in nature and will not damage any existing features. Emergency repairs, which are temporary in nature, will not require review (note: Depending on the type of work, a building permit may still be required.)
1. Name of Property

**Historic Name:** Tucker Ranch  
**Other Names:** Mountain Ranch  
**Site Number:** 5BL.14491

**Historical Narrative:**

**INTRODUCTION**

Boulder County is the ancestral homeland to numerous indigenous peoples that include, but are not limited to, the Ute, Arapaho, and Cheyenne Nations. With the discovery of gold along Boulder Creek in January 1859, the subsequent founding of the Boulder City Town Company the following month, and the establishment of the Colorado Territory in 1861, the indigenous peoples along the Front Range were forced to surrender their lands over the next several years, through a series of treaties, and enter the reservation system. With the forced removal of the indigenous people, non-native settlers poured into the area and began the next chapter in Boulder County’s history.1, 2

**ALFRED TUCKER’S EARLY LIFE AND ARRIVAL IN THE KANSAS AND NEBRASKA TERRITORIES**

Alfred Tucker was born on November 30, 1820, in Tennessee to Campbell and Margaret (Russell) Tucker.3 At the age of 13 he moved to Illinois. In 1842 Tucker traveled to California, stayed for 22 months to mine, and returned to Illinois by the way of the Isthmus of Panama to continue farming.4 By 1850, he was living in Clinton County, Illinois, and identified as a

---


Like many others at the time, Tucker was lured to the West, most likely because of the discovery of gold, and he made his way to Denver City in May 1859, which was part of the Kansas Territory. Tucker’s arrival, along with 17 others from Clinton County, Illinois, on May 28 is noted in the *Rocky Mountain News* under the heading “Arrivals.” Tucker’s wife, Margaret, remained in Illinois, living next to her parent’s household. The *Rocky Mountain News*, a weekly newspaper in operation for only two months at the time of Tucker’s arrival, tried to keep a register of the Denver City daily arrivals but realized it could not keep up with the growing number. In the same issue, it noted Tucker’s arrival:

> After the great retrograde movement had passed off, we intended to have kept a register of the emigrants arriving daily. Our experience since or last issue is, that we cannot accomplish it, for although there are but few from the Platte route, the Arkansas and Express routes furnish about 500 a day. We therefore have to give it up and publish only the few who call up and make the request.

According to the *Colorado Argonauts Fiftyniners’ Directory*, Tucker located and claimed 160 acres of land for agricultural purposes at the base of Table Mountain two days after his arrival.


6Before Colorado became a territory in 1861, it was part of the Kansas, Nebraska, New Mexico, and Utah territories. Denver City was in the Kansas Territory.


10Table Mountain is in present day Jefferson County. Jefferson County did not exist until November 1, 1861, when the Colorado General Assembly organized the 17 original counties.
As one of the early arrivals to the area, Tucker served as a delegate from Golden Gate City\textsuperscript{11} to the October 1859 Provisional Constitutional Convention that formed the provisional territorial constitution for the Territory of Jefferson.\textsuperscript{12} Later that month, this group formed the provisional government of the Territory of Jefferson.\textsuperscript{13}

At some point after Tucker claimed the 160 acres of agricultural land at the base of Table Mountain and served as a delegate to the Provisional Constitutional Convention, he relocated north to Altoona City. The July 15, 1860, Federal Census identifies Tucker, age 39, as a miner in the Altoona City\textsuperscript{14} census place, which was part of the Nebraska Territory. Tucker was living in the James L. Jordan household, along with three other younger miners from New York and Canada. Tucker is identified as having been born in Tennessee with a $1,000 personal estate value, with the other miners in that household showing no value.\textsuperscript{15}

**ALFRED TUCKER SETTLES IN SOON-TO-BE-CREATED JEFFERSON COUNTY**

Evidentially, Tucker didn’t stay too long in the Altoona City area because, by October 1860, he relocated back to his property near Table Mountain. There, he engaged in a legal challenge against the Golden Gate City Town Company and the Golden Gate Toll Road, which Tucker claimed had illegally entered upon his agricultural land claim, which he had made two days after his arrival in Denver City.

Golden Gate City’s second mayor, Daniel L. McCleery, had recently constructed a new toll road from the fledgling town of Golden Gate City to the Gregory Diggins gold fields, and this toll road became part of Tucker’s legal challenge, in addition to the Golden Gate City Town Company, which was selling town lots on what Tucker claimed was his land.

Prevailing in the Arapahoe County Court of Kansas Territory, Tucker ultimately gained control

\textsuperscript{11}Established on July 5, 1859, Golden Gate City is located at entrance to Golden Gate Canyon, which served as the main passage to the Gregory Diggins gold fields.

\textsuperscript{12}The Territory of Jefferson was a federally unrecognized territory that existed from October 24, 1859, until the creation of the Colorado Territory on February 28, 1861.


\textsuperscript{14}Altoona City refers to the broad geographical area that is approximately seven miles north of the City of Boulder at the bottom of Left Hand Canyon. Today the area is referred to as Altona. Altona operated as a supply and transportation center to serve the needs of miners who used the wagon road up the canyon to access the mountain mining camps.

of the Golden Gate Toll Road as a result of the court’s decision and operated it in partnership with Elisa Duncan. The toll road operated until around 1871-72, when the railroad line was completed up Clear Creek Canyon and the need for the toll road declined. It is interesting to note that the toll road entered what is referred to as Tucker’s Canon, Tucker Canon or Tucker Gulch, which is named after Alfred Tucker. Tucker Gulch can still be found on Golden area maps today.16,17,18

Further details about Tucker’s land acquisitions, activities or businesses in Jefferson County are limited to available online newspaper articles, since the Jefferson County Clerk and Recorder’s records index does not provide much detail and charges for copies of recorded documents.

Available online records do show that in 1869 Tucker purchased land in Jefferson County, in the Colorado Territory.19 Tucker purchased 80 acres of land under the Land Act of 1820, a Federal law that allowed individuals to buy land from the United States for $1.25 per acre.20

The land Tucker purchased is in the area historically referred to as Ralston. Ralston Creek runs through the area and across Tucker’s purchased land. The Ralston area is north of present-day Golden and, today, part of the City of Arvada. Tucker Lake is a located in the same area and was created by Thomas Tucker, Alfred and Margaret’s son, around 1901.21

ALFRED TUCKER’S FAMILY

Alfred Tucker married Margaret Ann Sugg sometime around 1857 in Illinois. Margaret was born on December 23, 1835 or 1837, in Illinois to Thomas W. Sugg and Samantha Ann Hughson


19The Colorado Territory was formed on February 28, 1861.


Sugg. Alfred and Margaret had a son, George Campbell Tucker, in 1859, while in Illinois. Campbell is Alfred’s father’s first name. Their son lived only about three months, with the cause of death listed as lung disease.

Since Alfred left for Colorado in 1859, it is not known when Margaret Tucker joined her husband because of the limitations of available records, but the couple’s second child, Alice, was born in Colorado on September 24, 1862. Piecing together the available records, we believe it is plausible to surmise Margaret Tucker joined her husband in Colorado sometime after the August 14, 1860, Illinois Federal Census identified her as living there and before the birth of their daughter, Alice, on September 24, 1862.

The couple’s third child, Rocella, was born on July 4, 1864. The couple’s son, Thomas Franklin, was born on June 3, 1866. The couple went on to have three more daughters, Lillian Beatrice, born March 19, 1871, Mary “Mamie” May, born May 19, 1873, and Maud “Margaret” Ann, born January 15, 1878.

Alfred and Margaret’s son, Thomas, went on to become a well know rancher and in 1913 purchased Caribou Ranch, a few miles north of the Tucker Mountain Ranch. Thomas “Tom” Tucker operated Caribou Ranch until his death in 1921; then his sister, Dr. Alice Tucker Moore, purchased the property and later sold it to her daughter, Alberta Moore Chapman, in 1936. In 1937, Caribou Ranch was sold to Lynn and Rose Van Vleet.

**ALFRED TUCKER AND FAMILY IN GILPIN COUNTY**

By August 29, 1870, the Federal Census lists Tucker, his wife Margaret, and their three children, Alice, Rocella and Thomas, living in Central City. The census identifies Tucker as working in a sawmill and having a real estate value of $5,000 and personal estate value of $10,000. Tucker’s combined real estate and personal value exceeds anyone else listed on the same census page.

---


26Caribou Ranch, Architectural Inventory Form, 5BL.13269, on file at Boulder County Parks and Open Space, 2015.

Further details about Tucker’s land acquisitions, activities or businesses in Gilpin County are limited to available online newspaper articles since the Gilpin County Clerk and Recorder’s records are not available online.

**ALFRED TUCKER’S BOULDER COUNTY DREAM**

Throughout the 1870s, numerous pieces of information about Alfred Tucker appear in various sources, and they provide a partial understanding of Tucker’s life and ambition in Boulder County.

In August 1870 Tucker organized the Keysport Town Company, under the direction of the Grand Island Lumber Company, of which Tucker was the president and one of its trustees. Envisioned as a cooperative community, Keysport included space for public buildings and grounds, as well as donating a lot to anyone willing to erect a frame building. The town company began operation with 10 men and a cook. The men united their labor efforts and shared profits equally. The Grand Island Lumber Company that launched Keysport owned a steam sawmill, machine repair shop, a house furniture factory, sash-and-door factory, an arrastra for testing ores, as well as 100 head of cattle. The Grand Island Lumber Company constructed good wagon roads that connect Keysport to the main wagon roads from Boulder City, Central City and Caribou.

Tucker’s Keysport is located somewhere along the banks of Beaver Creek, today’s Cook Track Creek. It is most likely located near the present-day Tucker Ranch, based upon the description that next to the townsite, there is a 160-acre tract of timbered land formerly owned by Orvis; Tucker purchased 160 acres from Harrison F. Orvis in December 1872. Those 160 acres remain part of the Tucker Ranch today.

Tucker’s dream for Keysport never materialized, and like many other settlements conceived during the 19th century mining boom in the area, it faded away almost as quickly as it began.

---

28Tucker registered his livestock brand with Boulder County in 1872 in Book I, Page 181.


31Boulder County, Quit Claim Deed, Harrison F. Orvis to Alfred Tucker, Book V, Page 163, December 11, 1872, Boulder County Clerk and Recorder's Office.
ALFRED TUCKER’S MULTIPLE INTERESTS

Although most often identified as a farmer or rancher in public records and newspaper articles, Tucker’s name is also linked to numerous mining location claims that include the Sampson, Flagg, Ross, Grand Tasora, Plow Boy, Nellie Grey, and Sitting Bull lodes.32

Tucker is also identified as one of the seven organizers of the Magnolia Consolidated Gold Mining and Concentration Company, incorporated on March 23, 1876. The company had $300,000 in capital stock, with 3,000 shares at $100 per share, and its office was in Boulder.33

Tucker also shows up several times in the Boulder County Commissioner’s journals, between 1873-1875, for various interesting items, such as multiple billings to the county for the keeping of a pauper, E.S. Stewart, being named as an eligible juror, and, most interesting, having two warrants issued for unidentified purposes.34

Tucker was also one of the three founding trustees of the Jefferson Ditch Company. This company was formed for the purpose of irrigating, manufacturing, and mining. The company proposed to construct a ditch from Clear Creek to Dry Creek.35

There are several references—a 1926 Jefferson County Republican newspaper article and a 2008 Golden Cemetery online blog—that identify Tucker as Judge Alfred Tucker. Additional evidence could not be found to corroborate these statements. Both references to Tucker being a judge occurred long after Tucker’s 1880 death.36,37

32Boulder County, Sampson, Flagg, Ross, Grand Tasora, Plow Boy, Nellie Grey and Sitting Bull Lodes Location Certificates, 1875-1876, Boulder County Clerk and Recorder’s Office.


ALFRED TUCKER’S BOULDER COUNTY MOUNTAIN RANCH

In addition to the 160 acres that Tucker purchased from Harrison F. Orvis in 1872, Tucker purchased the adjacent 160 acres from the United States under the Land Act of 1820. Combined, the 320 acres are referred to as the Mountain Ranch in his wife’s Decree of Final Settlement after her death.

In 1873, Tucker purchased another 160 acres just east of the Mountain Ranch from Elias S. Stewart, in what today makes up the western part of the Town of Nederland.

Evidence suggests that the Mountain Ranch remained a secondary property to the Jefferson County Home Ranch. The June 2-3, 1880, Federal Census supports this theory since Alfred and Margaret Tucker, along with five of their children living at home at the time, are listed as living in Jefferson County. Alfred, whose occupation is listed as a farmer, lists his birthplace as Tennessee, his father’s birthplace as Scotland, and his mother’s birthplace as Tennessee. Margaret is listed as being born in Illinois, with her father born in Tennessee and mother born in New York.

The Mountain Ranch remained in the Tucker family for the next 148 years, most likely serving as a livestock ranch, along with all the other Tucker land holdings. Although the exact use of the cabin is not known, it was most likely used as a summer family residence. Maud “Margaret” Tucker was born in the upstairs cabin bedroom in 1873.

In August 1904, Margaret Tucker sold a 100’-wide right of way across the western section of the family ranch to the Colorado and Northwestern Railroad Company for $300. This railroad line

---


39Boulder County, Decree of Final Settlement, Estate of Margaret A. Tucker to Rocella Miller, et.al., Book 300, Page 530, May 25, 1907, Boulder County Clerk and Recorder's Office.

40Boulder County, Warranty Deed, Elias S. Stewart to Alfred Tucker, Book V, Page 295, April 9, 1873, Boulder County Clerk and Recorder's Office.


43Boulder County, Warranty Deed, Margaret Tucker to Colorado and Northwestern Railroad Company, Book 279 Page 509, August 16, 1904, Boulder County Clerk and Recorder's Office.
became commonly known as the Switzerland Trail of America. The railroad grade can still be seen on the property today.

**ALFRED TUCKER’S JEFFERSON COUNTY HOME RANCH**

Although Tucker’s land holdings are in Jefferson and Boulder Counties, his home ranch, where the family lived, appears to have been along Ralston Creek in Jefferson County, somewhere on the land Tucker and his wife, Margaret, acquired starting in 1869. Over the years the Tucker’s Jefferson County land holdings grew tremendously and at the time of Margaret’s death in 1905, totaled 1,200 acres. In addition to the Jefferson and Boulder County real estate, the family owned land in Larimer County, called the Berthoud Ranch, as well as several lots in Denver.44

**ALFRED TUCKER’S PASSING AT THE HOME RANCH**

Alfred Tucker, identified as one of the oldest citizens of Jefferson County, died at the home ranch along Ralston Creek in Jefferson County on June 15, 1880, at the age of 59.45, 46

Tucker was originally buried in a little grove along Ralston Creek, before high waters eroded the creek bank and threatened his grave and several other graves nearby. Out of caution, the Tucker family exhumed his body around January 30, 1893, and reburied him at the Mt. Olivet Cemetery in Wheat Ridge, Colo.47

**MARGARET TUCKER’S PASSING AT THE HOME RANCH**

Margaret Tucker died in the same room as her husband at the home ranch along Ralston Creek in Jefferson County on either January 4 or 7, 1905. She was surrounded by her surviving children at the time of her death. Reverend J.F. White, of Arvada, conducted her funeral service. The cause of death is listed as pneumonia. Margaret is buried next to her husband, Alfred, at the Mt. Olivet Cemetery.

44Op Cit., Decree Final Settlement. Margaret A. Tucker to Rocella Miller, et. al.


46Op Cit., History of the State Colorado, 600-601.

After Margaret’s death, her surviving children formed the M.A. Tucker Investment Company to manage the Tucker properties instead of dividing up the real estate assets. The investment company issued 10,000 increment shares to the six surviving children for a total of 60,000 shares. The M.A. Tucker Investment Company continued to own the Mountain Ranch but, early on, leased the property for peat moss harvesting and for livestock grazing. Since about the 1960s, there have not been any active leases on the property.

**PARKS AND OPEN SPACE ACQUIRES TUCKER RANCH**

Boulder County purchased the 324-acre Tucker Ranch from the M.A. Tucker Investment Company on October 7, 2020.

---


50Op Cit., Decree Final Settlement. Margaret A. Tucker to Rocella Miller, et. al.


52Op Cit., Geick, Margaret. Telephone interview with Carol Beam, October 13, 2020 and February 16, 2021.

Address(s): 1001 Caribou Road, Nederland, Colorado 80466

3. Classification

Property Ownership: X Public ____ Private ____ Other
Category of Property: ____ Structure X Site ____ District

Number of Resources Within the Property (sites and districts only):

5 Contributing Resources 0 Non-contributing Resources

The contributing resources are the house, privy, cistern, shed, and driveway. There are no non-contributing resources.

Narrative Describing Classification of Resources:

The Tucker Ranch is a 324-acre tract of land owned by Boulder County and, therefore, meets the definition of public ownership. The property is also the location of an historic activity that possesses historic, cultural, and potentially archaeological value and, therefore, meets the definition of a site.

4. Function or Use

Historic Functions: Agricultural Complex
Current Functions: Not in Use

5. Description

Narrative Describing Resource:

House

The 1871 house is an irregular plan, one-and-one-half-story, side-gabled-roof building that faces west. The house features an irregular coursed fieldstone foundation underneath a wood frame building that is covered by brown painted horizontal wood siding. A large fieldstone-and-poured-concrete porch features five steps and provides access to the main entrance door from the
south elevation grade level. The porch spans the entire width of the cabin’s south elevation and extends to wrap around to the east and west elevations. The date “1924” is stamped into one of the east porch step capstones.

The west elevation features the main, single entrance wood door, centered on the main section of the cabin. A wood screen covers the main entrance door. Two wood windows with solid wood shutters flank the main entrance door. A secondary solid-wood panel single entrance door is located to the west of the main entrance door. A single wood window with solid wood shutter is west of the secondary door. A single shed roof dormer, with a boarded window, is centered on the wood shingle roof.

The south elevation features a large fieldstone chimney with a red brick extension that extends the chimney height above the roof ridgeline. One wood window with solid wood shutter is located at the ground level to the south of the chimney. Two small wood windows with solid wood shutters are in the upper gable and flank the fieldstone chimney. A single entrance wood door, with wood window and solid wood shutter, is located on the ground level of the north shed roof addition.

The north elevation features three wood windows with solid wood shutters and one shed roof dormer, with a boarded window centered on the wood shingle roof.

The west elevation features a single entrance wood door, covered with rolled aluminum on the ground level floor, and a single entrance wood door in the upper gable of the main section of the cabin. A small wood window, with solid wood shutter, is located in the gabled roof addition.

Privy

The privy is a rectangular plan, one-story, shed-roof building that is lying on its side. The privy was dislodged from its base at an unknown date. The wood frame building is covered with unpainted, horizontal wood siding. The entrance door is not visible since it is located on the side facing the ground.

Shed

The shed is a rectangular plan, one-story, shed roof building that faces east. The wood frame building is covered with painted brown wood plank siding and wood plank roof. A single entrance solid wood door is located on the east elevation. A small concrete pad is located at the door entrance. There are no windows.

Cistern

The cistern is a partially buried, rectangular plan feature constructed with fieldstone and concrete. The cistern’s construction is an outline of fieldstone, with an open interior in order to capture water from a source. The cistern’s depth is approximately three feet below ground and approximately one-foot above ground. Only three pieces of the cistern’s wood plank cover remain on the top of the feature.
6. Statement of Significance

Boulder County Criteria for Designation (check all that apply):

- [X] the character, interest, or value of the proposed landmark as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the county;
- [ ] proposed landmark as a location of a significant local, county, state, or national event;
- [X] the identification of the proposed landmark with a person or persons significantly contributing to the local, county, state, or national history;
- [X] the proposed landmark as an embodiment of the distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, type, method of construction, or the use of indigenous materials;
- [ ] the proposed landmark as identification of the work of an architect, landscape architect, or master builder whose work has influenced development in the county, state, or nation;
- [ ] the proposed landmark's archaeological significance;
- [ ] the proposed landmark as an example of either architectural or structural innovation; and
- [ ] the relationship of the proposed landmark to other distinctive structures, districts, or sites which would also be determined to be of historic significance.

Areas of Significance: Agriculture, Architecture

Periods of Significance: 1872-1921 (agriculture); 1871 (architecture)

Significant Dates:

1872 – Alfred Tucker purchases 160 acres from Harrison F. Orvis
1880 – Alfred Tucker’s death
1882 – United States issues land patent to Alfred Tucker after his death for 160 acres
1905 – Margaret Tucker’s death

Significant persons associated with the property:

Alfred Tucker, Margaret Tucker, Thomas F. Tucker

Statement of Significance:

The property is associated with the early development of agriculture and ranching in the Nederland area. The cabin, privy, shed, and cistern are historically and architecturally significant since they retain their historic physical integrity from the periods of significance and are associated with Alfred Tucker, his wife, Margaret, and their children. The driveway, although undatable, appears to be historic from its appearance and features. Reviewing the available historic aerial imagery did not provide any further information of the driveway’s age.

The property is historically and architecturally significant to the extent that it would qualify for nomination as a Boulder County Landmark under Boulder County Criterion 1-501-A (1) for its association with the development of early agriculture in Boulder County, under Boulder County Criterion 1-501-A (3) for its association with early Colorado settlers Alfred and Margaret Tucker, and Boulder County Criterion 1-501-A (4) for the cabin’s early construction date.
7. **Bibliographical References**


8. **Geographical Data**

**Legal Description of Property:** NW¼ of the NW¼ of Section 14, Township 1 South, Range 73 West, of the 6th Principal Meridian

**Boundary Description:** The landmark boundary is defined and limited to the one-acre area that the Parks and Open Space Department intends to landmark that contains the house, privy, cistern, driveway, and shed. There are no non-contributing resources.

**Boundary Justification:** The landmark boundary is drawn to encompass the extent of the major surface features in a one-acre area.

9. **Property Owner(s)**

**Name:** Boulder County  
**Address:** P.O. Box 471, Boulder, CO 80306  
**Phone:** 303-441-3950

10. **Form Prepared By:**

**Name:** Carol Beam  
**Address:** Boulder County Parks and Open Space, 5201 St. Vrain Rd., Longmont CO 80503  
**Phone:** 303-678.6272  
**E-Mail:** cbeam@bouldercounty.org

11. **Photos, Maps, and Site Plan**

See attached photos and map

---
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**Docket Number:**

**Assessor ID:**

**Parcel Number:**

**Application Date:**
Alfred Tucker – Undated drawing
Photo courtesy of Margaret Geick, Alfred Tucker’s great granddaughter
Possibly one of Alfred and Margaret Tucker’s daughters Alice, Rocella, Lillian or Mary - undated photo
Photo courtesy of Margaret Geick
M.A. Tucker Investment Company stock certificate – 1986
Photo courtesy of Jim Arneill
Shed – northeast elevation – 10/9/2020

Privy – lying on side – view to the east – 10/9/2020
Cistern – view to the east – 10/9/2020
HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD

Thursday, August 5, 2021 – 6:00 p.m.

Due to COVID-19, Public Hearing to be Held Virtually

PUBLIC HEARING

STAFF PLANNER: Denise Grimm

STAFF RECOMMENDATION RE:

Docket HP-21-0005: Clyncke Family Homestead

Request: Boulder County Historic Landmark Designation of the site
Location: 7010 South Boulder Road, in Section 11, T1S, R72W of the 6th P.M.
Zoning: Estate Residential (ER) Zoning District
Owner: Nancy Kimber
Agent: Amber Stewart & Steve McHugh

PURPOSE

To determine if the nominated property qualifies for landmark designation, determine if the landmark application is complete, and formulate recommendations for the Board of County Commissioners.

BACKGROUND

An application for landmark designation of the farmstead has been submitted by the owner. The request is to designate a one-acre site area containing the historic farmstead plus a thirty foot buffer. The four contributing structures include the farmhouse, Silo, pumphouse and dairy shed. The garage and equipment shed are proposed to be non-contributing.

In 1908 Camille Hippolite (C.H.) Clyncke and Mary Clyncke bought the property. C.H. was born in Belgium in 1870. He immigrated to the United States in 1888 (his brothers August and Andrew arrived in 1881 and 1892 and all three settled in this part of the county.) In 1893 he married Mary Dunn. Mary was born in on a farm west of Marshall, in 1873. She was the daughter of early pioneers Andrew and Catherine Dunn. They had seven children together and were raised on the farm. C. H. died in 1928, and Mary and their children inherited the farm. The farm passed through the family and Bonnie Clyncke was the last family owner until she died in 1998.

The property was in the Clyncke family for 90 years before being owned by a number of others with the current owner, Nancy Kimber, purchasing the property in 2021.
The farmhouse may have been built in 1922 according to the Assessor records and a previous interview with the family, however some family members speculate that it could be earlier. The outbuildings are listed as 1900, however this is often an approximation. The property where the house is located was acquired by C.H. in 1908 and that could also be the approximate date of construction. (Note: When the Carnegie Library reopens, we may be able to pinpoint a more exact date from the family archives held there.)

SIGNIFICANCE

The property qualifies for landmark designation under Criteria 1, 3 and 4.

Criterion 15-501(A)(1) The character, interest, or value of the proposed landmark as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the county;

The property farmstead is significant for its association with the development of Agriculture in the Boulder area.

Criterion 15-501(A)(3) The identification of the proposed landmark with a person or persons significantly contributing to the local, county, state, or national history;

The property is significant for its long-term association with the early Boulder County pioneering family of C. H. Clyncke and Mary (Dunn) Clyncke.

Criterion 15-501(A)(4) The proposed landmark is an embodiment of the distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, type, method of construction, or the use of indigenous materials;

The farmhouse and buildings are significant as good examples of agricultural buildings of the period.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board APPROVE and recommend that the BOCC approve Docket HP-21-0005: Clyncke Family Homestead under Criteria 1, 3 and 4 and subject to the following conditions:

1. Alteration of any exterior feature of the structures or construction within the site area will require review and approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (CA) by Boulder County (note: applicable county review processes, including but not limited to Site Plan Review, may be required).

2. Regular maintenance which prolongs the life of the landmark, using original materials or materials that replicate the original materials, will not require review for a Certificate of Appropriateness, provided the Community Planning & Permitting Director has determined that the repair is minor in nature and will not damage any existing features. Emergency repairs, which are temporary in nature, will not require review (note: Depending on the type of work, a building permit may still be required.)
### Historic Landmark Nomination Form

#### Name of Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Name</th>
<th>Clyncke Family Homestead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Names</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Historical Narrative

See attached narrative

#### Location of Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address(s)</th>
<th>7010 South Boulder Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Boulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>80303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Ownership:</th>
<th>Private</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of Property:</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Number of Resources Within the Property (sites and districts only):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing Resources</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-contributing Resources</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Narrative Describing Classification of Resources

See attached narrative

#### Function or Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historically site was used for cattle ranching, farming and coal mining.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Functions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site is currently used for residential. Current owner would like to transition use to residential and agricultural, see attached narrative of planned agricultural uses for site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrative Describing Resource</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement of Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulder County Criteria for Designation (check all that apply):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✅ The character, interest, or value of the proposed landmark as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the county;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>❑ Proposed landmark as a location of a significant local, county, state, or national event;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✅ The identification of the proposed landmark with a person or persons significantly contributing to the local, county, state, or national history;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✅ The proposed landmark as an embodiment of the distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, type, method of construction, or the use of indigenous materials;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>❑ The proposed landmark as identification of the work of an architect, landscape architect, or master builder whose work has influenced development in the county, state, or nation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>❑ The proposed landmark as an example of either architectural or structural innovation; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>❑ The relationship of the proposed landmark to other distinctive structures, districts, or sites which would also be determined to be of historic significance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas of Significance</th>
<th>Farming, Mining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of Significance</th>
<th>Late 1800's, Early 1900's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant Persons</th>
<th>Clyncke Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bibliographical References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Geographical Data

Legal Description of Property
W 1/2 E 1/2 NE 1/4 & POR NE 1/4 NW 1/4 NE 1/4 11-1S-70 PER REC #
3789190 6/6/2020 & BLD-15-0102 - TOTAL 39.5 ACS M/L

Boundary Description

Boundary Justification

Property Owner(s) Information
Name Nancy Kimber
City Rolling Hills
State California Zip Code 90274
Email Address nkimbermd@gmail.com; Steve@sbir.com
Phone Number 805-965-3669 x125 (Steve McHugh)
Signature

Preparer of Form Information
Name Amber Stewart
City Boulder
State Colorado Zip Code 80305
Email Address amber@rugglesmabe.com
Phone Number 303-513-4737
Signature

Photos, Maps, and Site Plan
See attached

For Office Use Only
Docket Number
Parcel Number
Assessor ID
Application Date

Form: H/01 • Rev. 08.27.10 • g:/publications/historic/H01LandmarkNominationApplication.pdf
Historic Landmark Nomination - Narrative

**Landmark Site:** We are requesting landmarking for the farmhouse and agricultural buildings at the north portion of the site, see attached site plan outlining the proposed landmark site which is one acre encompassing the buildings with a 30 foot buffer.

**Historical Narrative:** This property was owned by the Clyncke family since 1908 and was part of the extended family's holdings which were once over 300 acres. The Clyncke family was a very prominent Boulder family and there is extensive information about their family at the Carnegie Library. In 1908 C.H. Clyncke and Mary Clyncke bought the property. C.H. was born in Belgium in c1870. He immigrated to the United States in 1886-1888. His brothers, August and Andrew arrived in 1881 and 1892 and all three settled in the same part of the county. In 1893 he married Mary Dunn. Mary was born on a farm west of Marshall, in 1873. She was the daughter of early pioneers Andrew and Catherine Dunn. They had seven children together and were raised on the farm. C.H. died in 1928, and Mary and their children inherited the farm. In 1951, Marion and Lawrence Clyncke became owners of the farm. Lawrence was born in Boulder in 1915 and worked on the farm. He never married and died from an illness in 1965. At some point, Marion became the sole owner of the property. Marion was born in 1903 on the family farm. He worked on the family farm and had a business with his brother Oliver, moving houses. In 1972 he married Bonnie Bonita Warner. Bonnie Bonita Constable was born in 1915 in Indiana. She had a previous marriage to Avery Warner in 1936. Marion passed away in 1989, and Bonnie became the sole owner until she died in 1998.

The property was in the Clyncke family for 90 years before being sold to Lawrence and Pamela Abrams in 1999. The property was then sold to First Community Banks in 2007, to Boulder Valley Partners LLC a month later, in 2007, to BBY international Inc and then to Mountain Starr Investment LLC in 2013, to Robert and Christa Dam in 2015 and then to Nancy Kimber in 2021.

Marvin & Judith Clyncke still live just east of this property on Clyncke Lane. The road was named for their family and this property is bordered to the west by Ollie Lane which was named after Marvin's uncle, 'Uncle Oliver' who partnered with his brother in ranching the land. The family ranched and raised cattle and over time bought up other homesteads surrounding his, eventually owning and ranching around 300 acres (all of what is now Spanish Hills and Paragon Estates to the east, the Davidson Mesa to the south and east to 68th Street, of which our property was included. Marvin Clyncke says that the exterior of the farmhouse, silo and outbuildings haven’t changed much over the years. Apart from insulation, new siding and roofing, Marvin Clyncke does not believe there was much changed to the farmhouse from it’s original construction. Both Marvin and his son recall helping over the years with various additions and improvements to the little farmhouse and recall the stories of which family members lived in it over the years as they ranched the land, sold their cattle at the Denver stock yards, hunted muskrats, pheasant and ducks on the property and grew hay and corn on the property. They recall that they could ride their horses all the way to downtown Boulder to the Little Bridges Rodeo which is now where Whole Foods is located. Chris says his family and their relatives (some by marriage) basically owned all the land between South Boulder, up Boulder Creek and to the rodeo grounds so that they could ride there without ever having to leave family property. They would check the cattle and hunt along the way. One of the existing outbuildings was originally used as a chicken coop and the grain silo stored corn which they grew and stored to feed the cattle. In addition to the ranching, they also mined coal on the south side of the property. The Clyncke family lived in the little farmhouse and oversaw the mining operation.
**Historical Functions of Site:** The historical function of this site was cattle ranching, farming and coal mining.

**Resource Description:**
Contributing Resources to include Farmhouse, Silo, Pumphouse and Dairy Shed.

- **Farmhouse** – This structure is listed as being built in 1922 however, we believe could be as old as the late 1890’s and was minimally changed since that time according to Marvin Clyncke. I have also included photos of the farmhouse after removal of the aluminum siding.
- **Silo** – The brick silo will remain as is.
- **Pumphouse** – We will continue to utilize this structure as a pumphouse, however we will be remodeling it to have a more historical feel. See attached drawing for our plan for this structure.
- **Dairy Shed** – We plan to leave this structure as is except for where repairs are required. Anything replaced will replicate the existing.

Non-contributing Resources to include Garage and Equipment Shed.

- **Garage** - The garage will be remodeled to have a more historic feel, see attached drawing for more information on our concept design for the garage.
- **Equipment Shed** – The plan is to demolish this structure and return this area to prairie grasses and orchards.
EXISTING FARMHOUSE TO REMAIN AND USED AS AN ADU FOR SITE FARM MANAGER

EXISTING GARAGE TO BE REMODELED AND USED FOR FARM EQUIPMENT STORAGE

EXISTING PUMPHOUSE TO BE REMODELED AND REMAIN

EXISTING EQUIPMENT SHED TO REMAIN

EXISTING BRICK SILO TO REMAIN

EXISTING DRIVE LOCATION TO BE RELOCATED AND RETURNED TO PRAIRIE

EXISTING DAIRY SHED TO REMAIN

EXISTING EQUIPMENT SHED TO BE DEMOLISHED

EXISTING PAVED AREA TO BE REHABILITATED TO PRAIRIE GRASSES

OPEN SIDED SHED ENCLOSURE FOR ANIMALS

ORANGE DASHED LINE INDICATES OUTLINE OF PROPOSED LANDMARK SITE

EXISTING PAVED AREA TO BE REHABILITATED TO PRAIRIE GRASSES

EXISTING DAIRY SHED TO REMAIN

OPEN SIDED SHED ENCLOSURE FOR ANIMALS

EXISTING FARMHOUSE TO REMAIN AND USED AS AN ADU FOR SITE FARM MANAGER

EXISTING DRIVE LOCATION TO BE RELOCATED AND RETURNED TO PRAIRIE

EXISTING POWERHOUSE TO BE REMODELED AND REMAIN

NEW GRAVEL DRIVE SHOWN IN LIGHT GREY

NEW PAVED AREA

EXISTING DRIVE LOCATION TO BE RELOCATED AND RETURNED TO PRAIRIE

EXISTING EQUIPMENT SHED TO BE REMOVED
I. Identification

1. Resource number: 5BL.5727
   Temporary resource number:
3. County: Boulder
4. City: Boulder
5. Historic building name: Clyncke Farmstead
6. Current building name:
7. Building address: 7010 South Boulder Road
8. Owner name and address:
   Nancy Kimber
   32 Eastfield Dr
   Rolling Hills
   CA 90274

II. Geographic Information

9. P.M. _____ Township 1S_____ Range 70
   W 1/2 of NE 1/4 and E 1/2 of NE 1/4 of section 11
10. UTM reference
    Zone __: 13T  483920 mE  4426020 mN
11. USGS quad name: Louisville

   Year: 2019    Map scale:  7.5'    15'    Attach photo copy of appropriate map section.

12. Lot(s): _______ Block: _______
    Addition: _______ Year of Addition:

13. Boundary Description and Justification:
    The property is located at 7010 South Boulder Road and the legal description is W 1/2 E 1/2 NE 1/4 &
    POR NE 1/4 NW 1/4 NE 1/4 11-1S-70 PER REC # 3789180 6/6/2020 & BLD-15-0102 - TOTAL 39.5 ACS
    M/L
III. Architectural Description

14. Building plan (footprint, shape): **Rectangular**
15. Dimensions in feet: Length _x_ Width
16. Number of stories: 1
17. Primary external wall material(s): **Wood drop-lap siding**
18. Roof configuration: **Gable**
19. Primary external roof material: **Asphalt**
20. Special features: **Chimney**

General architectural description:

21. The house is rectangular in shape, single-story, and has an asphalt side gable roof. There’s an exterior brick chimney on the west elevation and triangle knee braces at the gable ends. It's wood framing in construction with an exterior finish of wood drop-lap (vinyl lapped siding that had been installed over the original siding has recently been removed). There are 1/1 single hung vinyl windows throughout the house (to be replaced with wood windows.) The north elevation has a wood cover deck, and the south elevation has a partial cover patio. There’s a metal door off the north deck and a wood glazed side door on the east elevation—the house rest on a concrete slab for a foundation.

22. Architectural style/building type: 19th/20th C American Movements

23. Landscaping or special setting features:

   The property consists of seven structures and the surrounding area is mainly associated with the development of agriculture.

24. Associated buildings, features, or objects:

   Contributing
   1: Type: **Garage**

   Description: The garage is rectangular, single-story, and has a front-gable asphalt roof with exposed eaves. Its exterior finish and construction are concrete blocks. At the gable ends, there’s wood-lapped siding for a finish. The north elevation has a metal garage door. The south elevation has a fixed window and a wood glazed door with two panels. The east elevation has a window opening with a concrete sill. The west elevation has a metal sliding window with a concrete sill and a fixed wood window—the garage rest on a concrete slab.

   2: Type: **Milk Shed**

   Description: The shed is rectangular, single-story, and has a front-gable asphalt roof with metal gutters. It's wood framing in construction with an exterior finish of wood-lapped siding. The south elevation has a four-panel wood door and a four-lite fixed wood window. The east elevation has a four-lite fixed wood window and the west elevation has a six-lite and four-lite fixed wood windows. The milk shed has concrete footers for a foundation.
3: Type: Silo  
   Description: The silo is cylinder-shaped, no roof, and has hollow glazed clay tile for structural and exterior finish. The grain doors are to the east and the silo has a concrete foundation.

4: Type: Equipment Shed  
   Description: The structure is rectangular, single story, and has a hipped corrugated roof with boxed in eaves. It's wood framing in construction with corrugated metal siding. The north elevation has two fixed single-pane windows. The south elevation has two flush wood doors, a metal garage door, and a tongue and groove sliding garage door. They're also a fixed single-pane window. The west elevation has two fixed single-pane windows.

5: Type: Pump House  
   Description: The structure is rectangular, single-story, and has a gable asphalt roof. It's wood framing in construction with wood-lapped, vinyl siding, and plywood for exterior finish. There's a wood door to the south, and it has a concrete slab for its foundation.

6: Type: Horse Shelter  
   Description: The structure is rectangular, single-story, and has a flat metal channel roof. Its wood framed in construction with four posts and has concrete piers for a foundation.

IV. ARCHITECTURAL HISTORY

25. Date of Construction: Circa 1890-1922   Actual:  
   Source of information: Family recollection, Parcel Reports and 1995 Site Form 5BL.5727

26. Architect: Unknown  
   Source of information: Unknown

27. Builder/Contractor: Unknown  
   Source of information: Unknown

28. Original owner: Camille Hippolite Clyncke and Mary (Dunn) Clyncke  
   Source of information: 1908 Deed 90064149 and 1995 Site Form 5BL.5727

29. Construction history (include description and dates of major additions, alterations, or demolitions):  
   1: Type: House  
      Construction History: The house may have been built in 1922, according to a 1995 interview with Bonnie Clyncke, (although Marvin Clyncke believes it could date to the 1890s.) The front porch was replaced sometime before 1995, and all the doors and windows have been replaced, although most appear to be the same sizes and locations.

   2: Type: Garage  
      Construction History: It unknown when the garage was built. There's been an addition to the south at an unknown time. Most of the windows and doors have been replaced.

   3: Type: Milk Shed
Construction History: It’s unknown when the shed was constructed. The roof was replaced at some point.

4: Type: Silo

Construction History: It’s unknown when the silo was constructed.

5: Type: Equipment Shed

Construction History: It’s unknown when the equipment shed was constructed. Most of the doors and windows have been replace.

6: Type: Pump House

Construction History: It’s unknown when the shed was constructed.

7: Type: Horse Shelter

Construction History: It’s unknown when the shed was constructed.

30. Original location: ☑  Moved: ☐  Date of move(s):

V. HISTORICAL ASSOCIATIONS

31. Original use(s): Domestic/Single Dwelling

32. Intermediate use(s): Domestic/Single Dwelling

33. Current use(s): Domestic/Single Dwelling

34. Site type(s): Agriculture

35. Historical background:

The entire Boulder Valley area is the ancestral homeland to numerous indigenous peoples over time, with the Arapaho and Cheyenne peoples being acknowledged by the United States in the Fort Laramie Treaty of 1851 as their traditional territory.

In 1908 Camille Hippolite Clyncke and Mary Clyncke bought the property. Hippolite was born in Belgium in 1870. He immigrated to the United States in 1888. His brothers, August and Andrew arrived in 1881 and 1892 and all three settled in the same part of the county. In 1893 he married Mary Dunn. Mary was born on a farm west of Marshall, in 1873. She was the daughter of early pioneers Andrew and Catherine Dunn. They had seven children together and were raised on the farm. Hippolite died in 1928, and Mary and their children inherited the farm. In 1951, Marion and Lawrence Clyncke became owners of the farm. Lawrence Elwood was born in Boulder in 1915 and worked on the farm. He never married and died from an illness in 1965. At some point, Marion became the sole owner of the property. Marion Vincent was born in 1903 on the family farm. He worked on the family farm and had a business with his brother Oliver, moving houses. In 1972 he married Bonnie Bonita Warner. Bonnie Bonita Constable was born in 1915 in Indiana. She had a
previous marriage to Avery Warner in 1936. Marion passed away in 1989, and Bonnie became the sole owner until she died in 1998.

The property was in the Clyncke family for 90 years before being sold to Lawrence and Pamela Abrams in 1999. The property was then sold to First Community Banks in 2007, to Boulder Valley Partners LLC a month later, in 2007, to BBY international Inc and then to Mountain Starr Investment LLC in 2013, to Robert and Christa Dam in 2015 and then to Nancy Kimber in 2021.

36. Sources of information:
   - 1851 Map Treaty of Fort Laramie
   - 1995 Site Form 5BL.5727
   - Ancestry.com Searches.
   - August Clyncke Carnegie Library
   - Boulder County Clerk & recorder Records (online) Deeds: 90064149, 90367575, 90367449, 90509895, 00070324, 1986676, 2842904, 2850237, 3366472, 3366473, 3477953, 3851619
   - Carnegie Library BHS 207-17-29 Photo 1. View of a house being moved from 2012 Spruce Street to 2230 Walnut Street. Movers Marion and Oliver Clyncke are in the photo.
   - Carnegie Library Boulder Daily Camera:
     - Lawrence Clyncke Dies Following Long Illness Jan 21 1965
     - Marion Vincent Clyncke Feb 21 1989
   - Coloradohistoricnewspapers.org
     - Boulder Daily Camera Orange Blossoms Poliet and Mary Wedding, April 4, 1893
     - The Louisville Times. Funeral Services for Mrs. Mary Clyncke Volume 40, Number 23, December 3, 1953
     - Daily Camera Carnegie Library
     - Drumm Map 1936
     - Marden Map 1953

VI. SIGNIFICANCE

37. Local landmark designation: Yes ☐ No ☑ Date of designation: ______

   Designating authority:

38. Applicable National Register Criteria:
   ☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or represents the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or
D. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in history or prehistory.

☑ Does not meet any of the above National Register criteria

Applicable Colorado State Register criteria:
A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to history.
B. Connected with persons significant in history.
C. Has distinctive characteristics of a type, period, method of construction, or artisan.
D. Is of geographic importance.
E. Contains the possibility of important discoveries related to prehistory or history.

☑ Does not meet any of the above Colorado State Register criteria.

Applicable Boulder County landmark criteria:
☑ 1. The character, interest, or value of the proposed landmark as part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the county;

☐ 2. The proposed landmark as a location of a significant local, county, state, or national event;

☑ 3. The identification of the proposed landmark with a person or persons significantly contributing to the local, county, state, or national history;

☑ 4. The proposed landmark as an embodiment of the distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, type, method of construction, or the use of indigenous materials;

☐ 5. The proposed landmark as identification of the work of an architect, landscape architect, or master builder whose work has influence development in the county, state, or nation;

☐ 6. The proposed landmark’s archaeological significance;

☐ 7. The proposed landmark as an example of either architectural or structural innovation; and
8. The relationship of the proposed landmark to other distinctive structures, districts, or sites which would also be determined to be of historic significance.

☐ Does not meet any of the above Boulder County landmark criteria.

39. Area(s) of significance: Architecture and Agriculture

40. Period of significance: 1900-1940s

41. Level of significance: National ☐ State ☐ Local ☐

42. Statement of significance:

The physical integrity of the property has been somewhat altered over time, however the removal of vinyl siding revealed the original siding and window openings. The property remained in the same family historical significance associated with ownership even though the property was in the Clyncke family for 90 years and they farmed in this part of the county as early as.

43. Assessment of historic physical integrity related to significance:

The architectural physical integrity has survived to a large degree with the form of the house remaining the same and the original siding being preserved under the recently removed vinyl. The doors and windows seem to be in their original locations although replaced. There has also been a small addition to the south.

VII. NATIONAL REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT

44. National Register eligibility field assessment:

Eligible ☐ Not Eligible ☑ Need Data ☐

45. Is there National Register district potential? Yes ☐ No ☑

Discuss: This inventory was conducted as a single as-needed survey.

If there is National Register district potential, is this building: Contributing ☐ Noncontributing ☐

46. If the building is in existing National Register district, is it: Contributing ☐ Noncontributing ☐

VIII. RECORDING INFORMATION

47. Photograph numbers: Digitals at Boulder County Community Planning and Permitting

Negatives filed at: Boulder County Community Planning and Permitting

48. Report title:

49. Date(s): July 28, 2021

50. Recorder(s): Scott Mueller & Denise Grimm
Resource Number: 5BL.5727
Temporary Resource Number:
51. Organization: Boulder County Community Planning and Permitting
52. Address: 2045 13th Street, Boulder, CO 80302
53. Phone number(s): 720-564-2880

NOTE: Please include a sketch map, a photocopy of the USGS quad map indicating resource location, and photographs.

History Colorado - Office of Archaeology & Historic Preservation
1200 Broadway, Denver, CO 80203  (303) 866-3395
Photographs

House North Elevation May 2021-PRIOR TO THE REMOVAL OF THE VINYL SIDING

House South Elevation May 2021
Garage North Elevation May 2021

Garage South Elevation May 2021
1948

- Entry Drive
- House
- Ollie Lane

1977

- Entry Drive
- Equipment Shed
- Dairy Shed
- Pump House
- Existing silo that remains
- Ag Structures no longer exist
- Garage

2021

- Entry Drive
- Equipment Shed
- Dairy Shed
- Pump House
- Silo
- Ollie Lane
- House
- Garage

7010 South Boulder Road - Aerial History

Hard to tell what structures existed from this photo

Ag Structures no longer exist
**HISTORICAL DATA RECORD**

**Boulder County Pioneer and Fourth of July Committee**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Informant</td>
<td>Mrs. C. H. Clyneke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Address</td>
<td>R.R. 1, South Boulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Parents if Pioneers</td>
<td>Andrew Dunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catherine Dunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When did they come to Colorado?</td>
<td>1862. Where from?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were they married when they came?</td>
<td>Yes. Place: Denver Colo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1868. How did they come to Colorado?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many days on road?</td>
<td>Longtime. Where did they locate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of family coming with</td>
<td>Andrew Dunn came with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>them</td>
<td>an uncle Patrick Dunn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Places they lived             | Should freight on the C.P. railroad when stayed through Cheyenne days. Then came to South Boulder until their deaths |
| How long in Boulder County?   | 51 years                                                                   |
| What members of family were born in Boulder County | 12 children. were born                                                   |
| Date of Father's Birth        | June 15, 1838. Place: Cheyenne Island.                                    |
| Date of Death                 | January 15, 1917. Burial: South Boulder Cemetery                           |
| Date of Mother's Birth        | 1855. Place: Muskateen Town.                                              |
| Date of Death                 | June 11, 1912. Burial: South Boulder.                                    |

*(OVER)*
OTHER INTERESTING DATA

On this page write what you think should be included in the history that the committee is endeavoring to collect concerning Boulder County and Boulder County pioneers.

Mrs. C. H. Clinch can remember when the court stone of the old court house was laid. Mrs. H. M. Andrew Dunn were present when it was.

OTHER PIONEERS

In this space write names and addresses of other pioneers.

John E. Dunn, Yathoma, Colo.
Mrs. Andrew Fidel, Palaeda, Colo.
CONCEPT DESIGN PLAN FOR HISTORIC FARM SITE

- **Brick Silo** - To Remain, no change
- **New Relocated gravel drive** to primary residence and barn
- **Garage** - Refurbished using design inspiration from August Clyncke's original 1888 home
  - Open shed on side for animal shelter
  - Vertical barnwood siding, corrugated rusted metal roof
- **Pump house** - Clad in stone with corrugated rusted metal roof
- **Animal pasture**
- **Dairy shed** - To remain, repaired as required. Replace roofing with synthetic wood shake roofing
- **Farmhouse** - Restored to original design
  - Restore original horizontal wood siding, synthetic wood shake roofing, remove railing at deck, brick chimney to remain, wood windows.
- **New fruit tree orchards**
- **New Relocated gravel drive to primary residence and barn**
MEMO

From: Chuck Gray
HPAB Chair

TO: Whom it may Concern

I attended the 2020 Colorado Preservation (CPI) Saving Places Conference (virtually) in February.

I attended the following presentations:

AM KEYNOTE - JOE MINICOZZI: DOLLARS AND $ENSE OF DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS

Showing the huge tax per acre advantage of downtowns; esp. multi-story buildings. Interesting 3D graphs of tax value by geographic location.

Note: This was the same presentation as I attended during the NAPC conference

Up from the Ashes: Wildfires and Historic Resources

The Sperry chalet in Glacier NP, a national historic Landmark, was destroyed in a forest fire in 2017. All that was left were the stone walls, which had moderate damage. This site was accessible only by mule or helicopter. The talk detailed some of the wall’s failure analysis and a discussion of the rebuild during two plus summers. New construction techniques to meet current codes, where possible, were “hidden” from view. For example, a significant amount of seismic bracing and fire stop designs were incorporated into the interior walls. The exterior stone walls are no longer the major load bearing roof support.

Managing Colorado’s significant Transportation Resources: CDOT Historians and Engineers talk shop

Believe it or not(!), CDOT has a fulltime historian on staff. This was general presentation on, primarily, bridges. Saving them where possible. Generally major highway bridges are subject to sect. 106 review.

Bridges which are not on state highways are considered “off system”. There are more of these than the “on system” bridges.

The presenters talked specifically about Vail Pass and Glenwood Canyon. Briefly about the Red Cliff Arch (US 24)
Plenary Session; Dr. Martin Carcasson of CSU

This was basically an introduction to Dr. Carcasson’s series of lectures on de-escalation of tensions in meetings about controversial subjects. He is an expert in managing controversial topic discussions.

Roundtable: Controversial Designations

This was primarily a presentation by Historic Denver folks about some of their work in Denver. It included an update on Tom’s Tavern; issue appears to be resolved!

Colorado’s most endangered Places Announcement Luncheon

Always an interesting session; with several good speakers. Heavily supported by Chanel 4 Denver news; Dave Aguilera

This year’s most endangered list:

1) Antelope Springs church, Morgan County
2) East Portal (Moffat Tunnel) camp cabins, Gilpin County
3) Southern Ute boarding school campus, Southern Ute Reservation
4) Isis theatre, Teller County

State Historical Fund: Historic Building Codes

I attended the first half of this rather detailed code’s discussion. Short summary: there are many codes & variations; which may or may not be applied in any given jurisdiction.

Roundtable for Certified Local Governments (CLG’s)

This was a very good group discussion of issues facing local Historic Preservation groups; whether designated as CLGs or not. In terms of governmental “support” whether by code or otherwise, Boulder County provides significant backing to preservation efforts. Participants varied from Telluride, with a very strict (& restrictive) preservation code, to several cities or counties with very little. Representatives from Trinidad were very much on a quest for ideas.

Emerging Window retro-fit solutions for building upgrades

I attended this presentation last year. In addition to rebuilding Historic windows and/or adding various types of storm windows, there is a new type of insulated glass available that will fit into most existing windows. It uses a thin, vacuum evacuated space.

Roundtable: Design Review: A Discussion

This was a general “show & tell” about how several entities have implemented (or not) design guidelines for additions and modifications to historic buildings. Aspen basically requires that additions
be “linked” by connecting corridors for old/new separation. Also, additions should follow much the same guidelines as Boulder County with respect to scale and setback. Denver has a “issue” with landscape retaining wall design(s). Denver has a Landmarks inspection policy for follow up on approved changes. Windows in Denver, like many places, are an issue they follow and enforce the rules. In Telluride, “demolition by neglect’ will cost an owner “dearly”.

**Fort Vasquez Museum – Adobe Restoration**

This was a presentation on the restoration of the 1930’s WPA recreation of the fort. Short summary: adobe & water don’t mix well!

**Saving The Goodnight Barn**

The Goodnight Barn is a historically significant masonry structure located near Pueblo

The only structure remaining from the Charles Goodnight Ranch was by a dedicated group. It required significant structural repairs using innovative methods.

**Conference Comments:**

In general, this was a very good adaptation to “Virtual Space” for a conference. I have attended several CPI conferences in the past, so the general format was expected. Although I have “misplaced” the actual title, there was an interesting presentation where the audience could guess what preservation boards, et al, decided with respect to allowable modifications to historic buildings. I had participated in this exercise during the NAPC conference, so it was interesting to compare the reactions of the CPI attendees to those of the NAPC.
Denise Grimm
Boulder County

Attended:

Dollars and Sense of Development Patterns
How to Date and Appreciate Mid-century Buildings
Keynote with Southern Ute
Using CLGs to Make Preservation More Inclusive

Learned:

How Eichler homes helped integrate neighborhoods
How the Southern Utes use a cultural landscape approach to preservation and their concerns over the Glenwood Springs area mine expansion and its potential impact on the Yampa Hot Springs and Vapor Caves.
How land values and financing policies shape community development.
To make preservation more equitable and Inclusive, trust building is needed.

I would recommend to others.

Will discuss at 7/1/2021 meeting.
Molly Marcucilli

2021 SAVING PLACES VIRTUAL CONFERENCE: PRESERVATION IN ACTION

Sessions Attended:

Session: Trolley Forward - Promoting CO's Streetcar History using GIS StoryMAps
Summary: A study was done on the history of streetcars in Colorado and an interactive GIS StoryMap was created which allows users to view all of the different lines and routes of streetcars in Colorado with interesting information and history behind them.
Date attended: 2/10/2021

Session: Revitalizing Main Street: The Challenges, the Changes, and the Adaptive Solutions
Summary: Panelists present their innovations, adaptations, and successes during the pandemic through a grant program which allowed communities to implement quick win projects that would improve the local economy and enhance healthy, multimodal transportation infrastructure. Some examples are temporary outdoor dining parklets, and bike and ped trail improvements.
Date attended: 2/10/2021

Session: The Inequity of Redlining Policy
Summary: Joe Minicozzi speaks about the impacts of the racist practice of redlining and how it systematically excluded people of color from capital, suppressed, mixed-use and mixed-income development and contributed to enduring wealth disparities, all of which altered the urban fabric of cities across the country.
Date attended: 2/11/2021

Session: Keynote with the Southern Ute Indian Tribe
Summary: Southern Ute tribal members Cassandra J Atencio and Garrett W Briggs speak about tribal culture preservation.
Date attended: 6/2/2021

Session: Mission 66 in CO's National Parks
Summary: Introduction of Mission 66, which was a 10-year program to update and expand visitor services between 1956 and 1966, ultimately introducing new architectural styles and functions to the National Parks.
Date attended: 6/2/2021

Lessons learned:
1. Aspen had a streetcar system! I thought the different names for streetcar lines were interesting. Some of note were Horsecar and Steam Dummy.
2. The sessions I attended in this conference made me realize how important and impactful grants are in procuring the staff time and resources needed to initiate/complete really important projects that agencies would not otherwise be able to do. It is truly a multi-agency, collaborative process.
3. I was unaware of the Mission 66 program. I never really thought about the modernism I have come across in National Parks and how that was an intentional concept. It's amazing how much this program accomplished in just a decade. Also interesting to think that those "modern" improvements are now considered historic.

Would I recommend the educational program to other CLGs? Yes

Date of Commission/Board meeting where information will be shared: July 1, 2021
Scott Mueller

Attended
- Trolley Forward: Promoting Colorado's Streetcar History Using GIS StoryMaps
- How to Date and Appreciate Mid-Century Buildings
- What Do the Standards Mean to You? A Debate on Interpretation
- Operational Controls for Structural Loading Requirements
- Endangered to Saved: the Rehabilitation of the Goodnight Barn
- Colorado’s Commercial Preservation Tax Credits 101: What are Preservation Tax Credits and Why Should You be Using Them?
- From Start to Finish - Navigating the State Historical Fund
- Practical Preservation: Fox West Theatre
- Plaster Conservation Practices in Action

Learned overall
- I learned the different ways to finance projects, from the State Historical Fund to the importance of Tax Credits and how they can impact a city in the long run with the investment upfront.
- I learned different perspectives to complete a rehabilitation project and what challenges there are from dealing with structural issues, working around the logistics of a building still being used as construction is being completed or how the work is done.
- There always local history to learn from each session and it's always interesting.

Yes, I would recommend it to other CLGs. The variety of sessions gives an excellent educational experience and provides opportunities to learn in areas someone may not be familiar with.
I will share with others on July 1, 2021
Sessions Watched and Things I Learned
Jessica Fasick, Boulder County

- KEYNOTE - JOE MINICOZZI: DOLLARS AND $ENSE OF DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS
- TROLLEY FORWARD: PROMOTING COLORADO’S STREETCAR HISTORY USING GIS STORYMAPS
  - Several communities had them in CO
  - Horse and gravity cars with horse riding car down hill
  - Fender cart so people wouldn’t go under
  - Denver, Golder, Arvada, Lakewood and Boulder line
  - Grand Junction and Fruita line
  - Street car wars and riots in Denver
  - Several iterations of lines – horse, steam, cable, electric
  - Interurban lines: Denver/Lakewood/Golden; Denver/Arvada/Golden; Denver/Boulder
  - The Denver & Interurbans line (aka Kite line) went thru Superior and downtown Louisville with a branch to Lafayette and a branch to Eldorado Springs so it went thru a fair amount of unincorporated BoCo
  - Interactive map at CDOT

- HOW TO DATE AND APPRECIATE MID-CENTURY BUILDINGS
- KEYNOTE - CASSANDRA ATENCIO & GARRETT BRIGGS WITH SOUTHERN UTE TRIBE
- KEYNOTE - LORI PACE: SAVING FACES IN PLACES
- ENDANGERED TO SAVED: THE REHABILITATION OF THE GOODNIGHT BARN
- Working on barn for 6 years
- Lonesome Dove story
- Goodnight-Loving Trail
- Goodnight’s mother invented the chuckbox in 1866 to fit on the back of a wagon
- Barn built 1870-71
- Only structure from the ranch still standing
- Built as a horse and carriage barn with tack and blacksmithing
- Listed on Nat’l Register 1974
- 1860s and 70s, branding started
- Pigeon cote reconstructed and added back; false cote
- Wood shingle roof
- Building entirely repointed; several stones were replaced including areas that had tar

- PITKIN COUNTY COURTHOUSE: PROVIDING ACCESSIBILITY TO 130 YEARS OF COLORADO COURTS
- MISSION 66 IN COLORADO’S NATIONAL PARKS
  - Post-World War II Rescue and Revitalization of National Parks and Park Areas
  - Pre-Mission 66 was 1945-55
  - Mission 66 is 1956-66 when about $100 million a year was spent on parks
  - Basically, a New Deal for the parks with Modernism
  - Post-war tourism led to overcrowding
  - From 50 million visitors in 1956 predicted to 80 million in 1966, but ended up being much more
  - Mission 66 was largest expansion of infrastructure on parks to date
  - Visitor centers, ranger stations, health clinics, trails, employee housing, campgrounds, bathrooms, picnic areas
  - Dinosaur Nat’l Monument discovered in 1908
  - 1915 it became a Monument
  - Originally 80 acres, added about 200,000 acres later
  - Quarry Exhibit Hall built in 1958; condemned in 2006
  - Ground movement from bentonite clay
  - Round portion removed leaving only the hall covering the bone wall
  - Comfort Station traits – ribbon windows on top, metal hopper windows, bubblers, often pastel colors inside
  - Regional variations – wood siding, brick siding, flat roofs, pitched roofs
  - Etic2.nps.gov

- WESTERN HERITAGE CELEBRATION -DR. DUANE VANDENBUSCHE

I would recommend all these sessions to other CLGs and always enjoy and learn great information at the Saving Places conferences.

I plan to share this information at the July 1, 2021 HPAB meeting.